

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,606	07/30/2003	Brian S. Hilton	115849	6123
27074 7.	590 05/12/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928			NGUYEN, LAMSON D	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2861	

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/629,606	HILTON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lamson D. Nguyen	2861	_		
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re on. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. cply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Amendment dated 02/17/06.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for all	llowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is		
closed in accordance with the practice un	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the applic	ation.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>2,12 and 13</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐] accepted or b) ☐ objected to t	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form P1O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a laim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docu					
2. Certified copies of the priority docu					
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B 		received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for	•	received			
occ the attached detailed office dotton for	a not of the defaned copies net				
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/94 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boyd et al. (US 2002/0033861).

Boyd et al teaches an inkjet head comprising:

Claim 1:

- a manifold that is molded from a polymer that includes at least one thermally
 conductive filler material (paragraph 51 teaches "the ceramic and metallization
 materials are consintered at approximately 1600 C, creating a monolithic structure
 having a three dimensional wiring system and internal ink manifold)
- a fluid ejector die module attached to the manifold (figure 2, modules 30 attached to chamber 32)

Claim 8:

• filler material is ceramic (paragraph 51)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-7, 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyd.

Boyd teaches all claimed features of the invention except for the various types of filler materials as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize different types of materials since it has been held to be with in the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use for the purpose of achieving maximum designs. <u>In re Leshin, 125, USPO 416.</u>

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2 and 12-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lamson D. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2259.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Talbott can be reached on 571-272-1934. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LAMSON NĞÜYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER